



## US war hawks' Eurasia goal: Prevent Russo-German coalition, China's OBOR project

Dr Christina Lin

August 2016

### Abstract

---

US wants to stop a coalition between Germany and Russia because the combination of German capital and technology with Russian natural resources and manpower can counter American dominance. Its move to destabilize and throw countries "off balance" in Eurasia threatens China's "One Belt, One Road" project that would help Eurasian economic integration and reduce ungoverned space for terrorist organizations to thrive. The world now waits to see if the US November election can usher in a new era of a multi-partner world to confront global challenges.

### About ISPSW

---

The Institute for Strategic, Political, Security and Economic Consultancy (ISPSW) is a private institute for research and consultancy. The ISPSW is objective and task oriented, and impartial to party politics.

In an ever more complex international environment of globalized economic processes and worldwide political, ecological, social and cultural change, that bring major opportunities but also risks, decision makers in enterprises and politics depend more than ever before on the advice of highly qualified experts.

ISPSW offers a range of services, including strategic analyses, security consultancy, executive coaching and intercultural competency. ISPSW publications examine a wide range of topics relating to politics, economy, international relations, and security/defence. ISPSW network experts have operated in executive positions, in some cases for decades, and command wide-ranging experience in their respective areas of specialization.

### About the Author of this Issue

---

Dr Christina Lin is a Fellow at the Center for Transatlantic Relations at SAIS-Johns Hopkins University where she specializes in China-Middle East/Mediterranean relations, and a research consultant for Jane's Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Intelligence Centre at IHS Jane's. She is the author of "The New Silk Road: China's Energy Strategy in the Greater Middle East" (The Washington Institute for Near East Policy), and a former director for China policy at the U.S. Department of Defense.



Christina Lin

## Analysis

It seems the American people and US allies from Europe to Asia are increasingly disenchanted with Washington war hawks' policies that are threatening global stability.

At the Democratic National Convention, angry delegates shouted "no more war" when former CIA director and defense secretary Leon Panetta began to criticize Trump.<sup>1</sup>



Although Panetta appeared to be surprised and taken aback, the delegates' frustrations should be understandable. After the Beltway war hawks wasted trillions of taxpayer dollars in endless counter-productive wars in Afghanistan, Libya, Iraq and Syria that have destroyed their countries and empowered Islamic State (IS) and al-Qaeda, and mortgaging the prosperity of America's future generations with a \$21 trillion debt burden, Americans have had it.

And so have US allies from Europe to Asia.

In Asia, the Philippine president accused US of importing terrorism<sup>2</sup> into the Middle East with its regime change policies, the Japanese are protesting to kick out US troops on Okinawa, and the Singapore prime minister chastised Uncle Sam for excluding its largest trading partner China in the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP).<sup>3</sup>

In a *Wall Street Journal* interview<sup>4</sup>, Singapore's Prime Minister Lee Hsien Long questioned US intentions for its "Asia Pivot" and stated Asian countries "do not want to have opponents or hostilities when it is not necessary" and asked, "To develop a trans-Pacific relationship, you have to deepen the trans-Pacific trade and investment ties, which have done so much to benefit the people of both sides...If in fact, you are re-balancing towards Asia with aircraft carriers and airplanes, what is it in aid of?"

Peter Lee<sup>5</sup> of Asia Times observed Singapore and other Asian partners are worried about US actions that may increase tensions and destabilize the region, and want more than "US military leadership of the anti-China side of a polarized East Asian security regime."

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.politico.com/story/2016/07/dnc-2016-leon-panetta-chant-226335>

<sup>2</sup> <http://atimes.com/2016/07/is-us-importing-terrorism-to-middle-east-as-claimed-by-philippine-president/>

<sup>3</sup> Gareth Porter, "US Regime Change Madness in the Middle East", *Common Dreams*, 4 January 2016, <http://www.commondreams.org/views/2016/01/04/us-regime-change-madness-middle-east>; "President of the Philippines: It is the US that Imported Terrorism into the Middle East", Middle East News Agency, 9 July 2016; Jonathan Soble, "Okinawa Protest, Thousands Call for Removal of U.S. Bases", *New York Times*, 19 June 2016.

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.pmo.gov.sg/mediacentre/pm-lee-hsien-loongs-interview-wall-street-journal-wsj>

<sup>5</sup> <http://atimes.com/2016/06/ash-carters-blissful-bubble-of-oblivion/>



Likewise in Europe, the Brits told US to mind its own business regarding their sovereign decision for Brexit,<sup>6</sup> the German foreign minister accused US-led NATO of warmongering,<sup>7</sup> the Bulgarian prime minister rejected joining a NATO fleet against Russia in the Black Sea,<sup>8</sup> and a German MP blasted the US as the biggest threat to European peace and stability.<sup>9</sup>

Addressing the German parliament in July, chairwoman of *Die Linke* party Sahra Wagenknecht protested, "The Americans are placing nukes in Germany, supposedly to counter Putin's aggression in the Baltics. Do the Americans seriously expect us to believe in such stupidity?"

With Germany now suffering increasing terrorist attacks and being overwhelmed by the flood of refugees caused by aggressive US regime change policies, Wagenknecht accused US of peddling NATO's article 5 on collective defense when in fact it is breaking NATO's Article 1 that states the alliance is not an offensive alliance, adding "It's become quite clear the entire Middle East fiasco is observed only from one angle, as the alliance sees fit."

Now, it appears US sees Russia as the next target after destabilizing the Mideast, and is piling on soldiers and weapons from the Baltics to the Black Sea.<sup>10</sup> It is sending weapons to Ukraine, as well as positioning tanks, F-22 Raptor stealth fighters, B-52 heavy bombers, A-10 "tank busters" and other heavy artillery in Central and Southeast Europe in preparation for war.

### US divisive goal in Europe

According to STRATFOR chairman George Friedman who advises the US security and intelligence community, while the US sees Russia as a threat, it sees Germany as a potential threat.

In his February speech at the Chicago Council on Global Affairs, Friedman stated the primordial interest for US is to stop a coalition between Germany and Russia, because the combination of German capital and technology with Russian natural resources and manpower is the only one that can counter US dominance.

Given NATO's goal is "to keep the Russians out, the US in, and the Germans down," US is now beefing up NATO and focused on establishing a "cordon sanitaire" or buffer zone (see map below) from the Baltics to the Black Sea in Central and Eastern Europe, and separate Germany from Russia.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>6</sup> Karla Adam, "London mayor tells Obama to butt out of EU. Debate," *Washington Post*, 14 March 2016; "Obama urges friend U.K. to stay in EU but Brexit camp tells him to butt out," *The Japan Times*, 22 April 2016; Benjamin Brackett and Dominic Hinde, "Britain offended by Obama pressure to stay in EU: Sort of 1776 in reverse," *Washington Times*, 13 April 2016.

<sup>7</sup> Justin Huggler, "German foreign minister accuses Nato of warmongering against Russia," *Telegraph*, 18 June 2016.

<sup>8</sup> Georgi Gortev, "Bulgaria refused to join NATO Black Sea fleet against Russia," *Euractive*, 16 June 2016.

<sup>9</sup> "German MP: US is a threat to European peace, not Russia," *Macedonia Online*, 12 July 2016, <http://macedoniaonline.eu/content/view/29783/54/>

<sup>10</sup> Marc Champion, "Baltics to Black Sea: NATO Unity May be Tested by Next Challenge," *Bloomberg*, 9 July 2016; Otto Kreieher, "Atlantic Council argues for NATO Naval Force in the Black Sea, Coordination in Arctic," *US Naval Institute News*, 21 June 2016; Juliane E Barnes and Thomas Grove, "NATO Set to Approve Baltic Force at Warsaw Summit," *Wall Street Journal*, 7 July 2016, <http://www.wsj.com/articles/nato-set-to-approve-baltic-force-at-warsaw-summit-1467894778>

<sup>11</sup> [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AgvtMeC7\\_o](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AgvtMeC7_o); <https://www.thechicagocouncil.org/event/europe-destined-conflict>





With IS and al-Qaeda on the march that has become a global game-changer threatening US, China, Russia, India, Germany and many other major civilizations across Eurasia, an increasingly *multi-polar* world could actually mean a *multi-partner* world should US choose to partner with these countries to collectively confront this emerging global challenge.<sup>13</sup> NATO Defense College in March published a new volume on cooperative security between great powers for these non-traditional security threats.

Moreover, one ponders if it is prudent for US interventionists from both the neoconservative and neoliberal camps to still focus on a geopolitical chessboard of Cold War “great games” to throw more countries ‘off balance’ to create more ungoverned spaces.

Unfortunately, as Amb Chas Freeman from Brown University’s Watson Institute observed in his article “The end of the American Empire”, the US is in a habit of using military power to *supplant* rather than *support* diplomacy, and has not yet cultivated the habit of asking “and then what?” before beginning military campaigns.<sup>14</sup>

As such, the world now waits and holds its breath to see if the US November election would usher in a new era of a multi-partner world to confront global challenges such as counter-terrorism, or enter in to a new Cold war of ramped up regime changes for confrontation and domination in a multi-polar world.

\*\*\*

**Remarks:** Opinions expressed in this contribution are those of the author. This article was first published by *Asia Times* on July 29, 2016.

<sup>13</sup> Christina Lin, “China’s Pivot West: Opportunities for Cooperative Security”, in *NATO and Asia Pacific*, Forum Paper 25, NATO Defense College, March 2016, <http://www.ndc.nato.int/news/news.php?icode=915>

<sup>14</sup> Chas W. Freeman, Jr., “The End of the American Empire”, *War on the Rocks*, 11 April 2016, <http://warontherocks.com/2016/04/the-end-of-the-american-empire/>